

**INTRODUCTION**

This section includes minor edits and corrections to the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) that was distributed for public and agency review beginning on February 16, 2007. Changes are listed by section number and page number, and are shown in revision marks (underline/~~strikeout~~). These revisions are provided to clarify information within the DEIR, and do not involve any new significant impacts or “significant new information” that would require recirculation of the DEIR pursuant to State CEQA Guidelines Section 15088.5.

**CHANGES AND EDITS TO THE DRAFT EIR**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

While the list of appendices in Volume 2 of the DEIR are identified in Volume 2 and in the body of the DEIR, it was not included in the table of contents of Volume 1. The following list of appendices is added to DEIR page ii:

**“ APPENDICES (VOLUME 2 OF THE DEIR)**

- A Napa County General Plan Scoping Summary Report and Comments Received After the Close of the NOP Comment Period
- B Industrial Land Use Study, Napa County General Plan Update
- C The Napa County General Plan Update EIR, Technical Memorandum for Traffic and Circulation Supporting the Findings and Recommendations  
The Napa County General Plan Update EIR, Technical Memorandum for Traffic and Circulation Supporting the Findings and Recommendations for Alternative E
- D Traffic Noise Modeling Data
- E Napa County Woodsmoke Emissions Modeling Data
- F Fishery Resources Technical Report for the Napa County General Plan and EIR (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)
- G Conservation and Mitigation Best Management Practices (BMPs) and Guidelines for Avoiding and Reducing Potentially Adverse Impacts on Fishery Resources and Aquatic Habitat within Napa County (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)
- H Technical Memorandum, Modeling Analysis in Support of Vineyard Development Scenarios Evaluation (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)
- I Approaches to Impact Avoidance, Minimization, and Mitigation through the Application of County Conservation Programs and BMPs (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)
- J 2050 Napa Valley Water Resources Study (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)  
City of American Canyon Urban Water Management Plan (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)

Correspondence dated December 21, 2005 Regarding City of Napa Water Supply (Located in Book 2 – Hard Copy Only)”

Readers should be aware that the technical appendices are contained on a CD attached to the inside back cover of the DEIR (volume 1). An electronic version is also available on the website at [www.napacountygeneralplan.com](http://www.napacountygeneralplan.com) and hard copies are available for review at the Napa County Department of Conservation, Development and Planning, 1195 Third Street, Napa and at local libraries.

**2.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The following changes made to Table 2.0-1:

- Even pages are to be re-numbered from “4.1-x” to “2.0-x”
- Page 2.1-8 (formerly numbered 4.1-8 in error - see bullet above): The following correction is made to Mitigation Measure MM 4.2.2 in order to clarify that the mitigation measure applies only to Alternatives B and C and that County intends to maintain consistency with the Napa County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan.

“The following mitigation measure would apply to Alternatives B and C:

**MM 4.2.2**      Subsequent development of the Napa Pipe site shall be designed in conformance with the Napa County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan to the maximum extent feasible. Should it be determined that development of the Napa Pipe Site would necessitate deviation from the provisions of the Napa County Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan, the County shall ensure that site design and/or technical analysis be provided that demonstrates that the site design would not conflict with Napa County airport operations or represent a safety hazard. Residential development at the Napa Pipe site could conflict with the Airport Land Use Compatibility Plan if it occurred within the “D” Zone, which essentially covers the southern one third (about 50 acres) of the site. To reduce this impact to less than significant, the County would ensure that any future development plan for the Napa Pipe property does not include residential use or other incompatible uses in the D Zone.”

- Page 2.0-17: Mitigation Measure MM 4.5.2a is modified as shown below in order to clarify the performance standard associated with sensitive biotic communities and oak woodlands set forth in this mitigation measure.

**“MM 4.5.2a**      The County shall provide a policy in the General Plan that requires the development of CEQA standards that require disclosure of impacts to all sensitive biotic communities and oak woodlands during review of discretionary projects. The County, in its discretion, shall require mitigation that results in the following standards:

SENSITIVE BIOTIC COMMUNITIES – For all sensitive biotic communities that are listed on DEIR page 4.5-98 and -1311 or are designated by the County, ensure no net loss through restoration or creation where a qualified biologist is determined that restoration or creation are ecologically feasible; or preserve like habitat at a 2:1 ratio ~~for habitat loss~~.

OAK WOODLAND - Maintain and improve oak woodland habitat to provide for slope stabilization, soil protection, species diversity and wildlife habitat through the following measures:

- Preserve, to the maximum extent possible, oak trees and other significant vegetation that occur near the heads of drainages or depressions ~~on north-facing slopes~~ to maintain diversity of vegetation type and wildlife habitat as part of agricultural projects.
  - Comply with the Oak Woodlands Preservation Act (PRC Section 21083.4) regarding oak woodland preservation to conserve the integrity and diversity of oak woodlands, and retain to the maximum extent feasible existing oak woodland and chaparral communities and other significant vegetation as part of residential, commercial, and industrial development approvals.
  - Provide ~~appropriate~~ replacement of lost oak woodlands or preservation of like habitat at a 2:1 ratio.  
"
- Page 2.0-22 (formerly numbered 4.1-22 in error - see bullet above), the following addition is made below Mitigation Measure MM 4.6.1b in order to be consistent with information in DEIR Section 4.6 (Fisheries) page 4.6-24:

"Implement mitigation measures MM 4.11.2a and b, MM 4.11.3a and b and MM 4.11.4."

- Page 2.0-31, the following addition is made below Mitigation Measure MM 4.7.7 in order to be consistent with information in DEIR Section 4.7 (Noise) page 4.7-38:

"Implement Mitigation Measure MM 4.7.1a."

- Page 2.0-71, the following correction is made below Mitigation Measure MM 4.11.9:

"Implement mitigation measures ~~as~~ MM 4.11.3a and MM 4.11.4."

## 4.2 LAND USE

- Page 4.2-25, Mitigation Measure MM 4.2.2 is modified as shown above in edits for Section 2.0 (Executive Summary).

### 4.5 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

- Page 4.5-64 and -65, Mitigation Measure MM 4.5.2a is modified as shown above in edits for Section 2.0 (Executive Summary).

### 4.6 FISHERIES

- Page 4.6-24, the first sentence of the last paragraph is modified as follows:

“Implementation of Mitigation Measure MM 4.11.2a and MM 4.11.3a would ensure that current effective provisions of the County Code are continued to be implemented and demonstrate compliance with the Basin Water Quality Control Plans and the Napa River TMDL for sediment.”

### 4.7 NOISE

- Page 4.7-38 (last paragraph) is modified as follows:

“Implementation of the above mitigation measures as well as mitigation measure ~~MM 4.2.2 and~~ MM 4.7.1a would ensure that future development near Angwin-Virgil O Parrett Field in Angwin would either meet the noise restriction requirements of the airport and/or include noise attenuation features to meet current County noise standards. Thus, with the implementation of these mitigation measures would reduce this impact to **less than significant** for all of the alternatives.”

### 6.0 PROJECT ALTERNATIVES

- Page 6.0-14, the second full sentence of the page is modified as follows:

“Implementation of mitigation measures MM 4.6.1a and b, MM 4.11.2a and b, MM 4.11.3a and b, MM 4.11.4 and implementation of the Napa County Conservation Regulations would mitigate sedimentation impacts to fisheries.”

- Page 6.0-17, the last sentence under “Noise and Land Use Compatibility (Aircraft)” is modified as follows:

“Alternative D would result in the same **significant and mitigable** impact that would be mitigated through implementation of Mitigation Measure MM 4.7.7 and MM 4.7.1a.”

- Page 6.0-43, the last sentence of the second paragraph is modified as follows:

“However, this alternative’s impact would still be considered **significant and mitigable** with the implementation of mitigation measures MM 4.6.1a and b, MM 4.11.2a and b, MM 4.11.3a and b, MM 4.11.4 and implementation of the Napa County Conservation Regulations that would mitigate sedimentation impacts to fisheries.”

- Page 6.0-52, the last sentence under “Noise and Land Use Compatibility (Aircraft)” is modified as follows:

“Alternative E would result in the same **significant and mitigable** impact that would be mitigated through implementation of Mitigation Measure MM 4.7.7 and MM 4.7.1a.”

8.0 REPORT PREPARERS

- The following changes are made to this section on page 8.0-1:

**“Questa Engineering Corporation – Water Resources Consultant (Author of Appendix I)**

Principal ..... Sydney Temple  
Project Scientist..... Chien Wang

**A.A. Rich and Associates – Fisheries Resources Consultant (Author of Appendix F)**

Principal .....Alice Rich, Ph.D

**DHI Inc. – Surface and Groundwater Hydraulic and Water Quality Modeling Consultant (Author of Appendix H)**

Principal ..... J. Carter Borden

**Hanson Environmental, Inc. – Fisheries Resources Consultant (Author of Appendix G)**

Principal ..... Chuck Hanson”

**ADDITIONAL NOTE TO READERS OF THE DRAFT EIR**

A very limited number of the DEIR documents distributed on February 16, 2007 and during the week of February 20, 2008 were missing pages as a result of a printing error. Please check your copy to see if page 3.0-34 is missing. If so, there may be other pages of the document that are also be missing and you should request a replacement copy at the County’s Department of Conservation, Development and Planning, 1195 Third Street, Napa or by e-mail to [info@napacountygeneralplan.com](mailto:info@napacountygeneralplan.com).